

Rubric to assess the quality and effectiveness of peer feedback

Criteria	Excellent (4 points)	Good (3 points)	Satisfactory (2 points)	Needs Improvement (1 point)
Clarity of Feedback	Feedback is clear and easy to understand, with no ambiguity. The points are well-articulated, making it easy for the peer to understand what is being addressed.	Feedback is mostly clear and understandable, with minimal ambiguity. Most points are easy to follow.	Feedback is somewhat clear, but there are areas of confusion. Some points may be difficult to interpret.	Feedback lacks clarity, making it difficult for the peer to understand. Points are ambiguous or confusing.
Specificity and Examples	Feedback is highly specific, with concrete examples and direct references to the peer's work. Suggestions are actionable and clearly related to the task.	Feedback is mostly specific, with some examples or references. Suggestions are mostly actionable and relevant.	Feedback includes few specifics, with limited examples or references. Suggestions are somewhat vague.	Feedback lacks specificity and examples, making it hard for the peer to act on. Suggestions are overly vague or general.
Constructiveness and Tone	Feedback is constructive, encouraging, and respectful. It focuses on improvement while acknowledging strengths, making the peer feel supported.	Feedback is mostly constructive and respectful. It is encouraging but may lack a balance of strengths and areas for improvement.	Feedback is somewhat constructive but may include overly critical or unsupported points, lacking encouragement.	Feedback is overly critical, lacks respect, or does not focus on improvement, potentially discouraging for the peer.
Depth and Insight	Feedback shows deep insight and thoughtfulness, addressing key aspects of the peer's work and suggesting meaningful improvements.	Feedback is insightful and addresses most key aspects. Suggestions are thoughtful but may miss deeper analysis.	Feedback provides limited insight, addressing only basic aspects with minimal analysis or depth.	Feedback lacks depth, with little insight or understanding of the peer's work. Suggestions are surface level.
Actionable Suggestions	Suggestions are highly actionable, providing clear steps or strategies for improvement. The peer can easily implement the feedback provided.	Suggestions are mostly actionable, with clear ideas for improvement, though some may need further clarification.	Suggestions are somewhat actionable but lack clarity or specific steps, making implementation challenging.	Suggestions are not actionable, lacking guidance on how the peer can improve.